

Appl. No. 10/051,723 Atty. Docket No. 8487M Comments dated 09/30/2004 Comments on "Response to Rule 312 Communication" Customer No. 27752

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/051,723

Applicant(s)

Isao Noda et al.

Filed

January 17, 2002

Title

Fibers Comprising Polyhydroxyalkanoate

Copolymer/Polylactic Acid Polymer Or Copolymer

Blends

TC/A.U.

1712

:

:

Examiner

Jeffrey Robertson

Conf. No.

8629

Docket No.

8487M

Customer No.

27752

## **COMMENTS ON "RESPONSE TO RULE 312 COMMUNICATION"**

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## Dear Sir:

These comments concern the Examiner's "Response to Rule 312 Communication," which was mailed on September 19, 2004 (copy enclosed). Upon review, Applicants have found that the amendments made to Claims 1 and 11 further limiting the second monomer unit to include an R2 that is a C3-C9 alkyl or alkenyl (instead of an R2 that is a C3-C19 alkyl or alkenyl) were specifically intended in the "Amendment After Final Office Action" made on December 8, 2003.

Applicants request that the present comments concerning the Examiner's "Response to Rule 312 Communication" be made of record in the Application file.

Appl. No. 10/051,723 Atty. Docket No. 8487M Comments dated 09/30/2004 Comments on "Response to Rule 312 Communication" Customer No. 27752

Respectfully submitted,

Isao Noda, et al.

Leonard W. Lewis
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 30,769

(513) 634-5649

September 30, 2004 Customer No. 27752

ANAII ABLE COPY

Application No. Applicant(s) NODA ET AL. 10/051,723 onse to Rule 312 Communication Examiner Art Unit Jeffrey B. Robertson 1712

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

1. 🛛 The	amendment filed on <u>31 August 2004</u> under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:
a) 🗌	entered.
b) 🗀	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
c) 🗌	disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the Issue fee.
	Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1, and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.
d) 🛛	disapproved. See explanation below.
e) 🗌	entered in part. See explanation below.
the so reaso subje	igh applicant contends that the proposed amendment is purely to correct typographical errors, the amendment effects tope of the claims because the number of carbons of the R2 group has been changed from C3-C9 to C3-C19. The in that this amendment has been disapproved is because proposed amended claims 1 and 11 contain the same of the transfer as allowed claims 21 and 22. Since claim 1 is in the form of a fiber and claim 11 is expressed as a fiber, would be no difference between these claims were the amendment to be entered.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLE. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

DEST AVAILABLE COPY

Cyling B. Mark Jeffrey B. Robertson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1712

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-271 (Rev. 04-01)

Reponse to Rule 312 Communication

Part of Paper No. 09102004